

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/723,038 11/26/2003 | | 11/26/2003 | Ron Pickarski | 4215EC-1 | 7799 |
| 22442 | 7590 | 07/05/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | AN ROSS | S PC | WEIER, ANTHONY J | | |
| 1560 BROADWAY SUITE 1200 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DENVER | DENVER, CO 80202 | | | 1761 | _ |
| | | , | | DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/723,038 | PICKARSKI, RON | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Anthony Major | 1764 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Anthony Weier pears on the cover sheet with the co | 1761 correspondence address | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>24 March 2005</u>. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the | | | | | | |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for seeking court review | | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| Although a reply was received 7/25/05, same was non-responsive. A letter addressing the problem with the response (i.e. MPEP 821.03) and defining a new response period was mailed out 10/20/05. No further response has been received, and Applicant is well beyond the response time (considering all potential extensions of time availed to Applicant). Anthony Weier | | | | | | |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1761 | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| | | | | |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)